



JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

Inspection Report
Minerals Regulatory Program

February 13, 2006

Supervisor DRH

Mine Name: Timpie Solar Ponds
Operator Name: Cargill Salt

Permit number: M/045/030
Inspection Date: February 10, 2006
Time: 10:00 am

Inspector(s): Doug Jensen and Lynn Kunzler, DOGM
Other Participants: Mark Whitson, Operator
Mine Status: Active

Weather: Clear

Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement
1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Deleterious Material	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Concurrent Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads,	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Soils	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Revegetation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Purpose of Inspection:

Routine inspection in conjunction with reclamation surety review.

Inspection Summary:

1. In reviewing the map submitted as part of the surety review, differences were noticed between this map and the previous map (7 years old). A new training building, and a shop expansion were noted. Also, one building (office building) was missing. In discussing these changes with the operator, the new buildings have been there for a couple years at least, and the old office building had burned down about a year ago, and was not replaced. We discussed the need to have these changes in the NOI. Mr. Whitson indicated that he would send an amendment to include these structures. The Division has sufficient information to include these structures with the reclamation surety calculation. A revised surety amount for the next five years will now be prepared.
2. The operation is well-signed directing visitors to the office to check in and to remind visitors that they need to use/wear appropriate safety protection/equipment.

Conclusions and Recommendations:

Continue to monitor the site when in the area.

Inspector's Signature DRH

Date: February 13, 2006